2nd IEEE International Workshop on Advanced Information Systems for Enterprises



Recommendation Of Learning Resources And Users Using An Aggregation-Based Approach

Mne.Hanane Zitouni

Etudiante en Magistère Ecole Nationale Supérieure d'Informatique, ESI Alger, Algerie

Mue.Lamia Berkani

Maitre de Conférence Département d'Informatique Université USTHB Alger, Algerie



Dr.Omar Nouali

Centre de Recherche sur l'information Technique et Scientifique, CERIST Alger, Algerie



Présentée par: Mile. Hanane ZITOUNI



Introduction



The Application of information and communication technologies in education led to the creation of new form of learning called **e-learning**.

Introduction

The European Commission, defined e-learning as [CUEU01]: « The utilization of new multimedia technologies and the Internet to improve the quality of learning by facilitating access to resources and services, as well as exchanges and distant collaboration ».

Introduction

Some basic concepts of e-learning :





Example: Merlot (ww.merlot.org)



Proplematic

R

How the actors of e-learning can find the adequate learning resources according to their needs and profiles. In this large number of available resources that increasing more and more?

In order to enhance collaboration between actors, there is a need for finding other actors with similar profiles (interests, preferences, needs...). How can we do it in this voliminous number of actors?



Recommender System

The definition given by **Robin Burke** [BURK02]: « *The recommender system is any system that produces individualized recommendations as output or has the effect of guiding the user in a personalized way to interesting or useful objects in a large space of possible options* ».

Recommender System

Two types of recommender systems:

Content based Filtering (CBF)

Collaborative Filtering (CF)

Content based Filtering (CBF)



The continut-blised varitaging of systematbased to smeller is based they require analysistign between other content offe dbeum eterns which is computation all protensive esa [ZIAEE40] impossible to perform on multimedia items which do not contain text.

Collaborative Filtering (CF)



CF is considered as one of the most successful approaches for building recommender systems. It uses the known preferences of a group of users to make recommendations or predictions of the unknown preferences for other users [XSUM09].

Collaborative Filtering (CF)

The Principe of Collaborative Filtering



Filtrage Collaboratif (FC)

Unlike CBF; CF ignores the form and the content of items and can therefore also be applied to nontextual items. But it suffers from some disadvantages:

× First-Rater problem;

×Sparsity problem;

×No preferences.



Recommendation in E-learning

System	The tec recomm	hnique of nendation		Re	commended objet(s)
Alterred Vista system [RECK02]		CF			-Learning resources - actors
RACOFI [ANDE03]	Hybrid	Recommen	ation		-Learning resources
QSIA [RAFA04]		CF			-Learning resources
CYCLADES [AVAN05]		CF			-Learning resources
An ecolving e-learning system [TANG05]	Hybrid Recommendation		-Learning resources		
ReMashed[DRAC09]	Hybrid Recommendation			-Services	



Our approach of recommendation

E-learning

Collaborative Filtering

Namely:

Roles and interests of actors;

Meta-data rep

sources.

IWAISE'12 Constantine

Our approach of recommendation

Before detailing how our system benefits from these characteristics, we first describe its several Concepts:

- Users: represents the actors of e-learning;
- **Items**: represents learning resources;
- **Profile**: a description for each user;
- **Community**: group of linked users based on some specific criteria;
- **Recommendation**: a list of N items where the target user will like the most;

Our approach of recommendation

Before detailing how our system benefits from these characteristics, we first describe its several Concepts:

- Favorite collection: learning resources with user's previous likings chosen by him;
- Prediction: a numerical value, expressing the predicted likeliness of an item the user hasn't expressed his opinion about;
- **Contact list**: a collection of user's with a similar tastes selected by the target user.

In a classic CF we have simple communities based on similar evaluations. However, the problem is when a new user is introduced; the system couldn't give any recommendation for him (*no preferences problem*). As a solution to this problem, we propose using role and interest



Calculate the similarity (*Pearson correlation coefficient Similarity*):

$$Sim(u,v) = \frac{\sum_{i \in Iuv} (R_{u,i} - \overline{R}_u) (R_{v,i} - \overline{R}_v)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in Iuv} (R_{u,i} - \overline{R}_u)^2} I \sqrt{\sum_{i \in Iuv} (R_{v,i} - \overline{R}_v)^2}}$$

Where:

-Sim(u, v): represents the similarity between user

 $-I_{uv}(I_{uv} = I(u) \cap I(v))$:means the item set rated simultaneously by user u and user v,

- $R_{u,i}$, $R_{v,i}$: are the scores of item i rated by user u and v respectively, - $\overline{R}_{u^{i}}$, \overline{R}_{v} : represent the average scores of user u and v for their rated items respectively.

Calculate the prediction:

$$Pred(U_i, I_j) = \alpha_1(\bar{R}_{C,i}) + \alpha_2(\bar{R}_{E,i}) + \alpha_3(\bar{R}_{I,i}) + \alpha_4(\bar{R}_{R,i})$$

Where:

- $Pred(U_i, I_i)$: is prediction of the user Ui pour l'item Ij
- $\alpha_{1..4}$: are coefficients,

 $\bar{R}_{C,i}$, $\bar{R}_{E,i}$, $\bar{R}_{I,i}$, $\bar{R}_{R,i}$ are respectively the average ratings of contact list, Evaluation community, Interest community, Role community. (where $C \cap E \cap I \cap R = \emptyset$).

Algorithm I

Begin

- Step1 Build the profile of the User A
- Step2 Extract the Role and Interests of A (role R, Interests I)
- *Step3* Aggregate A with other users having as Role R and/or interests I
- *Step4* Calculate the predictions of learning resources
- *Step5* Send a preliminary Recommendation for A
- *Step6* Save the interactions and ratings of A
- *Step7* Compute similarity between A and other members of his community of role/interest
- *Step8* Update the position of A, add A in an Evaluate Community EC
- Step9 Calculate learning resources predictions
- *Step10* Recommend the N more predicted learning resources and users having the same tastes of A

End

Example IEEE proposes Learning Meta Data Object (LOM).



IWAISE'12 Constantine

Example of Dublin Core Metadata Initiative (DCMI).

Elément	Description
Title	A name given to the resource
Creator	An entity primarily responsible for making the resource.
Subject	The topic of the resource.
Description	An account of the resource.
Publisher	An entity responsible for making the resource available.
Contributor	An entity responsible for making contributions to the resource.
Date	A point or period of time associated with an event in the lifecycle of the resource
Туре	The nature or genre of the resource.
Format	An unambiguous reference to the resource within a given context.
• • •	•••



"if the user liked these resources he will like this one"

IWAISE'12 Constantine

Calculate the similarity (Jaccard similarity coefficient):

$sim(i,j) = \frac{|i \cap j|}{|i \cup j|}$

Where:

 $-i \in (Set of favorite collections),$

-j is the new item.

Algorithm II

Begin

A: user

Step1 extract the meta-data MD of the new Item R

Step2 while (a set of favorite collection $\neq \emptyset$ or not exist a similar Item) do

```
{
```

Calculate the similarity between MD with the meta-data of resources in the favorite collection of A

```
Step3 if (exist similar item) then
```

```
Send notification about R for A
```

End



Case study

Users	Roles	Interests	Ratings about I
4	Learner	DB	?
U ₁	Learner	IA	Dislike
U ₂	Teacher	Algorithms	Like
U ₃	Learner	DB	Like
U ₄	Tutor	IA	Dislike
J ₅	Teacher	DB	Like

We compare the behavior of our system with a system based only on collaborative filtering.

We consider:

CR/I: Communities of roles and/or Interests.

CE: Communities of evaluation.

Case study

COLLABORATIVE FILTERING SYSTEM VS OUR RECOMMENDER SYSTEM

Events	CF system	Our system	Description
	The communities are based on mono- criterion (simple communities) -C1 (U ₁ ,U ₄) -C2 (U ₂ , U ₃ ,U ₅)	The communities are based on multi- criterion (aggregated communities) $-C1_{R/I}(C_{E1}(U_1,U_4), C_{E2}(U_3))$ $-C2_{R/I}(C_E(U_2,U_5))$ $-C3_{R/I}(C_{E1}(U_1), C_{E2}(U_3, U_5))$	Unlike our system the CF system didn't exploit the two notions of role and interest
Coming of new actor A	We have any idea about the preferences of A so he will not be affected to any community	C _{R/I} (A,U ₁ ,U ₃ ,U ₅)	Our system executes an initial recommendation and it suggests I for A(because it's appreciated by U ₃ and U ₅)

Case study

COLLABORATIVE FILTERING SYSTEM VS OUR RECOMMENDER SYSTEM

Events	CF system	Our system	Description
A like the item I		$\begin{array}{c} C_{R/I}(C_{E1}(U_1),\\ C_{E2}((A,U_3,U_5))\\ \text{we recommend}\\ U_3,U_5 \text{for A and he}\\ \text{has the choice to}\\ \text{add him in his}\\ \text{contact list and he}\\ \text{is free also to add I}\\ \text{in his favorite}\\ \text{collection} \end{array}$	Our system will execute another recommendation of items (in this time it exploits the ratings of A)
The actor X adds a new item NI	NI will not be recommend as we have any ratings about it	Calculates the similarity between metadata of NI and the other ones of each item from the actor's favorite collections	If the similarity exist our system will send a notification for the actor about the addition of NI



Conclusion & Perspectives

We discussed the problem of e-learning actors, to find and share learning resources of various types and huge quantity.

The solution to solve this problem is to use a recommender system.

The most appropriate method to this context is the technique of CF although it suffers from some limitations.

Therefore, we have presented how we use the notions of aggregation and metadata description to minimize some disadvantages of this technique.

IWAISE'12 Constantine

Conclusion & Perspectives

We envisage to enrich the proposed approach by:

Developing a prototype in order to test and evaluate the recommender systemd;

Improving user's recommendation by adding the RDF vocabulary FOAF (Friend of a Friend), notion of activity;

Adding a content layer to solve the problem of cold start which will switch us from a collaborative approach to a hybrid one.

Bibliographie

[ANDE03] Anderson M., Ball M., Boley H., Greene S., Howse N., Lemire D., McGrath S. (2003). "RACOFI: A Rule-Applying Collaborative Filtering System", Paper presented at the conference IEEE/WIC COLA'03, Halifax, Canada.

[AVAN05] Avancini H., Straccia U. (2005) "User recommendation for collaborative and personalised digital archives", International Journal of Web Based Communities, 1(2), 163-175.

[DRAC09] Drachsler, H., Pecceu, D., Arts, T., Hutten, E., Rutledge, L., Van Rosmalen, P., Hummel, H.G.K., Koper, R. (2009). ReMashed - Recommendations for Mash-Up Personal Learning Environments. In: Cress, U., Dimitrova, V., Specht, M. (eds.): Learning in the Synergy of Multiple Disciplines, EC-TEL 2009, LNCS 5794, Berlin; Heidelberg; New York: Springer, pp788-793.

[BURK02] Hybrid Recommender Systems: Survey and Experiments. User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction, 12(4):331–370. ISSN 0924-1868

[CUEU01] Conseil de l'Union Européenne, "Résolution du conseil sur le e-learning ", Journal Officiel des Communautés européennes, Vol. 20, n°7, 2001,

Bibliographie

[RECK02] Recker M.M., Wiley D.A. (2000). An interface for collaborative filtering of educational resources. In Proc. of the 2000 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Las Vegas, USA, pp.26-29.

[RAFA05] Rafaeli, S., Dan-Gur, Y., & Barak, M. (2005). Social Recommender Systems: Recommendations in Support of E-Learning. International Journal of Distance Education Technologies, 3(2), 29-45.

[TANG05] Tang T.Y., McCalla G.I. (2005). "Smart Recommendation for an Evolving E-Learning System: Architecture and Experiment", International Journal on E-Learning, 4 (1), 105-129.

[XSUM09] X. Su, M. T. Khoshgoftaar. A Survey of Collaborative Filtering Techniques. Advances in Artificial Intelligence, Article ID 421425, 19 pages, 2009.

[ZAIE10] ZIED ZAIER. « Modèle multi-agent pour le filtrage collaboratif de l'information ».Thèse présentée comme exigence partielle du doctorat en informatique cognitive. Université du Québec à Montréal. 2010

